3 Hindi

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 662 OF 2003

PETITIONER

Dmarbati Bai, aged about 35 years, W/o Mohan Baghel, by caste Raj Gond Tribal, resident of village Bade Sohanga, Tehsil Kondagaon, District Bastar (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Additional Collector, Bastar at Jagdalpur, District Bastar (Chhattisgarh)
2. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Kondagaon, District Bastar (Chhattisgarh)
3. Naib Tehsildar, Kondagaon, District Bastar (Chhattisgarh)
4. Kushal Singh, S/o Panchgaya, Up Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Bade Sohanga,Tehsil Kondagaon District Bastar (Chhattisgarh)
5. Radheshya, S/o Shivnath
6. Shambhunath, S/o Parmandhan
7. Purannath, S/o Narayan
8. Bhagatram, S/o Dudharu,
9. Kamluram, S/o Luku
10. Kejaram, S/o Pitturam
11. Vishwanath, S/o Chandiya
12. Radhelal, S/o Govardhan
13. Ghasiram, S/o Paklu
14. Somnath, S/o Bogaram
15. Rambati, W/o Ganpati
16. Pusmabai, W/o Nandu

P.R. No. 516/03
Presented by shri S.R. Tandon
dated 11.02.03

12/2/03

1/2/03

(4)

17. Chaindai, W/ Amirnath

18. Sampati, W/o Chaituram

19. Rajbati, W/o Phulsingh

20. Lakhmi, W/o Bisruram

No. 5 to 20 are Panchas of Gram Panchayat, Bade Sohanga, Tehsil, Kondagaon, District Bastar (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.662/ सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	27.1.2005 Shri S.R.J. Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Praveen Das, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 to 3. Heard both the counsel. By this writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the order of the Additional Collector dated 3.2.2002 passed in Panchayat Appeal Case No.14/A-89 A(6)/2002-2003 (Annexure P-1). The petitioner was Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat: Bade Sohanga, and vide resolution dated 13.11.2002 she was removed from the post of Sarpanch, against which she filed an appeal and the same was also dismissed by order dated 3.2.2002. As the term for which the petitioner was elected as Sarpanch has already expired in the year 2004, elections for the new term has already been conducted, and this petition is simply questioning the removal of the petitioner from the post of Sarpanch of previous term, this petition has become infructuous. The petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Soma